Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/577,603	BARTOSZYK, GERD		
Examiner	Art Unit		

		BONG-SOOK BAEK	1614	
The	MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FII	ED <u>12 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS .	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
application application for Contin periods:	was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on n, applicant must timely file one of the following in in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeared Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Ceriod for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance of FR 1.114. The reply must be filed to	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
b) The pe no eve Exami	oriod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Annt, however, will the statutory period for reply expire land Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
have been filed is under 37 CFR 1.1 set forth in (b) abo	e may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 7(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). PEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
filing the N	e of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp lotice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
		out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	201122
(a) ☐ The (b) ☐ The	osed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by raise new issues that would require further cory raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	TE below);	
, ,	y are not deemed to place the application in beti eal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d)☐ The	y present additional claims without canceling a core. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. 🔲 The amer	ndments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
	's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
non-allowa	oposed or amended claim(s) would be all able claim(s).	_	•	
how the name of the status	ses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ew or amended claims would be rejected is prove of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) r	bjected to: ejected: <u>14,20-22 and 37-39</u> .			
` '	vithdrawn from consideration: <u>23 and 34-36.</u> OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affida because a	wit or other evidence filed after a final action, but or other evidence filed after a final action, but opplicant failed to provide a showing of good and arlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t befo re or on the date of filing a No I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> t or other evidence is	be entered necessary and
entered be showing a	vit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ecause the affidavit or other evidence failed to o good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
REQUEST FOR	avit or other evidence is entered. An explanation RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
See Con	est for reconsideration has been considered but tinuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:
12.	attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		/Brian-Yong S Kwon/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1614	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments without any amendments to the claims are fully considered, however they are still not found to be persuasive for reasons of record and the following. Applicants argued that inflammation-induced pain and neuropathic pain have completely different underlying mechnism, thus one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made would not have been motivated to combine the cited references. Althought the underlying mechanism of inflammation-induced pain may be different from that of neuropathic pain, the symptomes of hyperalgegia and allodynia are same and as stated in the previous action mailed on 12/16/2008, post-herpetic neuralgia involves the extensive inflammation, thus inflammation-induced pain or hyperalgesia is also present. In the alternative, even though post-herpetic neuralgia would not involve inflammation-induced pain or hyperalgesia, it is associated with the extensive inflammation, thus one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to use asimadoline for the treatment of post-herpetic neuralgia since asimadoline, which is effective for severe pain, hyperalgesias, and inflammation, would be expected to be useful for treating inflammation of post-herpetic neuralgia. In addition, there is no clear distinction between inflammation-induced pain and neuropathic pain in terms of the pathophysiology since both pain conditions are known to be associated with the receptors such as the vanilloid receptor (VR-1) and inflammatory cytokines regardless of the initial cause, either nerve damage or inflammation, and neuropathy encompasses various types of neropathic conditions such as inflammatory neuropathies. Also, opioid analgesia and other pain treamnet such as lidocaine, which are effective for inflammatory pain, have also been used for neuropathic pains. See cited references in form 892.